

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bac 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.USNO.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,927	12/30/2003	Chi Zhang	UC0201USNA	1955
23906 7	7590 03/08/2005		EXAM	INER
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			KOPEC, MARK T	
	TENT RECORDS CENTER IILL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/748,927	ZHANG, CHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Kopec	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a roll of the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matt					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 8 and 17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-16 and 18-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/one 	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is/	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in A Ority documents have been Its have been	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ ☐ Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1751

This application claims benefit to 60/438,209 (filed 1/6/03). Claims 1-21 are currently pending.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1751

Claims 1-7, 9-16 and 18-21 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kim et al (Synthetic Metals).

Applicant's definition of "co-solvent" at page 4 of the specification is noted.

Kim et al disclose conductivity of PEDOT/PSS with various organic solvents including tetrahydrofuran (Abstract). In the "Experiment" section, aqueous dispersions of BAYTRON P are mixed with THF co-solvent, and cast onto glass substrates. The conductivity values and component ratios are within the scope of the above listed instant claims. The reference specifically or inherently meets each of the claimed limitations.

The reference is anticipatory.

In the event that any minor modifications are necessary to meet the claimed limitations, such as minor variation in cosolvent percentage, such modifications are well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

In view of the foregoing, the above claims have failed to patentably distinguish over the applied art.

Claims 7 and 17 are allowed. The prior art of record does not disclose or fairly suggest the use of 1,4-dioxane co-solvent.

The remaining references listed on forms 892 and 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative

Application/Control Number: 10/748,927 Page 4

Art Unit: 1751

to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Kopec whose telephone number is (571) 272-1319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Kopec
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751

MK March 5, 2005